

APPLICATION NO

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATIENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguis 22313-1450

| www.mplo.gov | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| | |

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

09/834,243 04/12/2001 Jimmy A. Tatum M40 26493-04 US 5859 128 7590 10/14/2003 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. LE, QUE TAN 101 COLUMBIA ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245 2878

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/834,243 TATUM ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Que T. Le 2878

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shiet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

| final r condit | ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in lon for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | |
|------------------------------|--|----|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| ь) [2 | The period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statulory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the maling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I). | |
| nave be 37 CFR b) abov | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension few an illed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension few and the state of t | n |
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | |
| 2.🛛 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | 16 |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: | |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | t |
| 5.⊠ | The a) affidavit, b) carbibit, or c) frequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | |
| 7.🖂 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: none. | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: none. | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-14. | |
| 8.🗌 | The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. 🗌 | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. | Other | |
| | QueT.Le | |
| | Primary Examiner | |

25/2





Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Applicants fails to response to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection set forth in the previous Office Action, and the newly insertion into the claims 1 and 5 contain new issue.